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#### Abstract

This research aimed at determination of effectiveness of inclined stiffeners of thin cylindrical shell under uniform bending. The method of solution was carried out by the use of nonlinear large deflection theory and the effect of initial imperfections in the straindisplacement equations was considered. The Ritz method was used to determine the buckling stress parameter of the shell. Numerical examples were carried by varying the angle of inclination of the stiffeners at different imperfect ratios with other properties like: flexural rigidity and torsional rigidity of the stiffeners, deflection parameters, internal pressure and radius of curvature of the shell being kept constant. The results showed that $10^{0}$ inclined stiffeners are the most effective with its maximum critical buckling stress at imperfect ratio of 0.5 . While $45^{0}$ inclined stiffener is the least effective with its least critical buckling stress at imperfect ratio of 0.1 . With reference to the results obtained in this research, engineers designing cylindrical shell structures with the aim of providing resistance to buckling would be able to select suitable inclined stiffeners for the structure under uniform bending.


Index Terms- Thin cylindrical shell, buckling, stress, uniform bending, the Ritz, imperfect ratio, inclined stiffeners, effectiveness.

## 1 Introduction

The The design of cylindrical shell structures depends on a large number of factors, namely the economic aspects, material availability, response of each structure of the system to static and dynamic loads, temperature effects and so on. The designer is interested in arriving at an optimum design taking into considerations all these factors [1]. The analysis of the structure is normally concerned with the determination of behaviour of the structure or the elements of the structure under theaction of external loads. It explains the responseof thestructure when subjected to external loads and / or temperature changes. In other words, if the external loads are known, the deformation pattern and internal stress distribution in the structure can be determined. Also, the nature of equilibrium of the structure (stable or unstable equilibrium) shall be determined. The understanding of those responses of the structure is necessary for design of safe structure [1].
Cylindrical shell structures can fail either by yielding of buckling. The collapse of the structures precipitated by buckling is often a more serious problem than fracture or yielding. Buckling sometime occurs suddenly without warning causing a catastrophic failure.

Fracture or yielding, on the other hand, can also produce failure, but the elasticity of the material permits a redistribution of the stresses often allowing a progressive collapse rather than a sudden complete collapse characteristic of buckling. Once buckling is initiated within the structure, there is little or no chance of recovery unless theload is suddenly reduced [2]. In fact, buckling
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phenomenon in cylindrical shell occurs when most of the strain energy which is stored as membrane energy has been converted to bending energy requiring large deformation resulting to catastrophic failure [2]. Hence, the design of thin cylindrical shells should be based on buckling criteria [3]. Buckling behaviour of cylindrical shells (in particular, the critical buckling load) is not accurately predicted by linear elastic equations due to initial imperfections of the shell structure under the action of external loads like uniform bending, uniform axial compression etc. The buckling effect on the cylindrical shell structures can be resisted with incorporation of stiffeners in the shell [4]. The circumferential stiffeners are known as ring while longitudinal stiffeners are called stringers [5]; [6]. Cylindrical shell with stiffeners is shown in Fig. 1

In this work, the Ritz method which was incorporated with imperfections in the shell structures was employed in determining the effectiveness of inclined stiffeners of internally pressurized thin cylindrical shell under uniform bending. This was achieved by assuming the displacement function of the shell. Its stress function was obtained from the assumed displacement function from the compatibility equation which was carried out by non linear large deflection theory. The expression of the stored energy in the shell as well as work done by the external load was obtained using both the stress and displacement functions. The large deflection terms, effect of imperfection in the strain displacement and the external load wereconsidered in the formulation of total strain energy of the imperfect shell. The re sulted total strain energy was minimized using the Ritz method to determine the equation for obtaining the buckling stress values of the shell.

(a)

(b)

Fig 1: Cylindrical shell (a) stiffened with rings and stringers (b) stiffened with inclined stiffeners

### 2.0 Derivation of Buckling Stress Parameter of Thin Cylindrical Shell under Uniform Bending

The buckling stress parameter was derived thus; the deflection function was assumed first, then the stress function was obtained from the compatibility equation which was carried out by the non-linear large deflection theory. The expression for the stored energy in the shell and stiffeners as well as work done by the external loads (i.e. uniform bending) was obtained using the assumed deflection and stress functions. The large deflection terms, the effect of the imperfection in the strain displacement and strain energy equations of the shell, shell stiffeners and external loads were considered in the formulation of total strain energy for each type of thecylindrical shells. The resulted total strain energy for each type of cylindrical shells was minimized using the Ritz method.
The equation obtained after minimization using the Ritz method is the governing equation for computing the buckling stress value of the cylindrical shell.

### 2.1 2.1 Energy Expression for the cylindrical shell



Fig. 2: Coordinates and Displacement Components of a point on the Middle-surface of the shell.
Let $x$ and $y$ be the axial and circumferential axis in the median surface of the undeformed cylindrical shell as shown in Fig. 1, $w$ is the total radial deflection and $w 0$ represents the initial radial deflection. From the theory of elasticity, the strain - displacement relations of the cylindrical shell are as expressed in Eqns. (1a), (1b) and (1c) respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon_{x}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d w_{0}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}  \tag{1a}\\
& \epsilon_{y}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}\right)^{2}-\frac{w-w_{0}}{R}  \tag{1b}\\
& \epsilon_{x y}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y} \tag{1c}
\end{align*}
$$

The stresses and strains in the middle surface of the shell in the caseof planestress arerelated to each other by thefollowing equations.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{x}=\frac{E}{1-\mu^{2}}\left(\epsilon_{x}+\mu \epsilon_{y}\right)  \tag{2a}\\
& \sigma_{y}=\frac{E}{1-\mu^{2}}\left(\epsilon_{y}+\mu \epsilon_{x}\right)  \tag{2b}\\
& \sigma_{x y}=\frac{E}{2(1+\mu)} \epsilon_{x y} \tag{2c}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting Eqns. (1a), (1b) and (1c) into their related equations in Eqns. (2a), (2b) and (2c), the followings were obtained;

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{x}=\frac{E}{1-\mu^{2}}\left\{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right.+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \\
&+\mu\left[\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}\right)^{2}\right. \\
&\left.\left.-\left(\frac{w-w_{0}}{R}\right)\right]\right\} \quad(3 a) \\
& \begin{aligned}
\sigma_{y}= & \frac{E}{1-\mu^{2}}\left\{\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+\right.
\end{aligned} \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{w-w_{0}}{R}\right) \\
&+\mu\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right. \\
&\left.\left.-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} \\
& \sigma_{x y}= \frac{E}{2\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)}\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}\right]
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

For plane stress state, the non-zero components of stress tensor, $\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}, \sigma_{x y}$ satisfied the following equilibrium using Airy stress function $F$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x}=\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial y^{2}} ; \sigma_{y}=\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x^{2}} ; \sigma_{x y}=\frac{-\partial^{2} F}{\partial x \partial y} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating variables $u$ and v in Eqns. (3) and (4), the relation between stress function $F$ and radial component displacement, w was expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)^{2} F= & E\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x \partial y}\right)^{2}-\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial x^{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial y^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial x^{2}}-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial x \partial y}\right)^{2}\right](5 a)
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $\nabla^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \mathrm{y}^{2}}$ is called Laplace operator.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\nabla^{2}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~F}=\mathrm{E}\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x \partial y}\right)^{2}-\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial x^{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial y^{2}}-\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}\right. \\
\left.+\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial x^{2}}-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial x \partial y}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{5b}
\end{gather*}
$$

For simplicity, w was assumed to be proportional to $w_{0}$. Thus,
$J=\frac{w_{0}}{w}$
Where Л is called imperfection ratio and it is independent of $x$ and $y$.
With the expression from Eqns (5b) and (6), the compatibility equation was expressed as;

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{1}{1-\Omega}\right) \nabla^{4} \mathrm{~F}=\mathrm{E}\left(1+\text { Л) }\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x \partial y^{2}}\right)^{2}-\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}\right]\right. \\
-\frac{E}{R} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}} \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where $\nabla^{4}$ is called Bilharmonic operator.

Equation (7) is the compatibility equation of perfect thin cylindrical shell.
The strain energy of isotropic medium referred to arbitrary orthogonal coordinates was expressed as:
$U=\frac{1}{2} \iiint_{v o l} \sigma_{i j} \epsilon_{i j} d v o l=\frac{1}{2} \iiint_{v o l}\left[\sigma_{x} \epsilon_{x}+\sigma_{y} \epsilon_{y}+\sigma_{x y} 2 \epsilon_{x y}+\right.$ $\left.\sigma_{x z} 2 \epsilon_{x z}+\sigma_{y z} 2 \epsilon_{y z}\right] d x d y d z \quad$ (8a)

Substituting Eqns. 1(a-c), 2(a-c), 3(a-c) and 4 into Eqn. (8a), we have expressions stated in Eqns. (8) and (9) respectively:
i. The extensional strain energy in the shell. This was expressed as;

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{e}=\frac{h}{2 E} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{2 \pi R} & \left\{\left(\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial y^{2}}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& +2(1+\mu)\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x \partial y}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x^{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial y^{2}}\right]\right\} d x d y \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

## ii The potential due to the intermal pressure, $p$ of the cylindrical shell

$U_{p}=\int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{2 \pi R} p\left(w-w_{0}\right) d x d y$

## iii. The potential due to edge bending of the shell

As a result of the eccentric loading of the shell, the potential due to the edge bending of the shell is the product of applied bending force and the length in the direction of bending. This expressed as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{m}=\frac{-\sigma_{b} h}{E} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{2 \pi R}\left[\operatorname { c o s } \frac { y } { R } \left\{\left(\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial y^{2}}-\mu \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x^{2}}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right\}\right] d x d y \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where $\sigma_{b}=$ applied peak bending stress
The bending strain energy of stiffeners. Considering Fig. 3, thestiffeners were assumed parallel with the $y_{1}, y_{2}$ coordinates lines and theprincipal direction of the cylindrical shell coincide with $x, y$, lines.



Fig．3：The coordinate system of the stiffeners of the cylindrical shell s and stiffeners
The subscript k was used for $k^{\text {th }}$ stiffnener，which is inclined at an angle，$r_{1}$ with generator of the cylinders and is parallel with $y_{1}$－line and normal to $y_{2}^{\prime}$＇－line．Hence，the bending strain en－ ergy in the $k^{\text {th }}$ stiffener is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{b, k}=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{k}} \frac{E_{k} I_{k}}{2} \int_{0}^{L_{k}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y_{1}^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial y_{1}^{2}}\right)_{y_{2}^{\prime}=0}^{2} d y_{1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $N_{k}$ denotes the number of the stiffeners in $\gamma_{1}$－direc－ tion．$E_{k} I_{k}$ represents the flexural rigidity of the $k^{t h}$ stiffener． The limit $L_{k}$ is the length of the stiffener in $\gamma_{1}$－direction． Similarly，the bending strain energy in the $j^{\text {th }}$ stiffener which is parallel with $y_{2}$－line and nomal to $y_{1}^{\prime}$－line as shown in Fig． 2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{b, j}=\sum_{j=1}^{N_{j}} \frac{E_{j} I_{j}}{2} \int_{0}^{L_{j}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}\right)_{y_{1}^{\prime}=0}^{2} d y_{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subscript $j$ was used for $j^{\text {th }}$ stiffener which is inclined at angle of $\gamma_{2}$ with the generator of the cylinder．Where $N_{j}$ is the number of the stiffeners in $\gamma_{2}$－direction．
$E_{j} I_{j}$ represents the flexural rigidity of the $j^{t h}$ stiffeners．The limit $L_{j}$ is length of the stiffener in $\gamma_{2}$－direction．

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{T, k}=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{k}} \frac{G_{k} J_{k}}{2} \int_{0}^{L_{k}}\left[\frac{\partial^{2}\left(w-w_{0}\right)}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}^{\prime}}\right]_{y_{2}^{\prime}=0}^{2} d y_{1}  \tag{13}\\
& U_{T, j}=\sum_{j=1}^{N_{j}} \frac{G_{j} J_{j}}{2} \int_{0}^{L_{j}}\left[\frac{\partial^{2}\left(w-w_{0}\right)}{\partial y_{1} \partial y_{2}^{\prime}}\right]_{y_{1}^{\prime}=0}^{2} d y_{2} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Where G J represents the torsional rigidity of stiffeners，with subscriptj representing stiffenersin $\gamma_{2}$－direction and subscript k is for stiffeners in $\gamma_{1}$－direction．In this analysis，the indined angles，$\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ areconsidered in axial symmetry for inclined stiffeners．
The deflection shape of the cylindrical shell under uniform bending was assumed as：
$w=f_{1}+\cos ^{2}(y / 2 R)\left[f_{2} \cos \frac{m x}{R} \cos \frac{n y}{R}+f_{3} \cos \frac{2 m x}{R}\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+f_{4} \cos \frac{2 n y}{R}\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Wheremand $n$ arethenumbers of waves in axial and circum－ ferential directions respectively．Using compatibility equation in Eqn（7），the corresponding stress function for cylindrical shell under uniform bending：

$$
\begin{align*}
F=-\frac{\sigma}{2} y^{2}+\sigma_{b} R^{2} & \cos \frac{y}{R}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{P R}{h} x^{2}+a_{11} \cos \frac{m x}{R} \cos \frac{n y}{R} \\
& +a_{22} \cos \frac{2 m x}{R} \cos \frac{2 n y}{R}+a_{20} \cos \frac{2 m x}{R} \\
& +a_{02} \cos \frac{2 n y}{R}+a_{31} \cos \frac{3 m x}{R} \cos \frac{n y}{R} \\
& +a_{13} \cos \frac{m x}{R} \cos \frac{3 n y}{R} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\sigma$ and $\sigma_{b}$ are the average axial and peak bending stresses，respectively and are positive for compression． Substituting Eqn（16）into Eqn（7）and minimizing the resulting equation，the coefficients $a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{02}, a_{20}, a_{31}, a_{13}$ in Eqn．（16） were determined in terms of $f_{2}, f_{3}$ ，and $f_{4}$ as shown in Eqns．17（a－f）

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{aligned}
& \forall_{20}^{*}=\frac{a_{20}}{E h^{2}}= \frac{1}{256} \\
& {\left[32 b_{4}^{*} \beta(1-J)\right.} \\
& \quad-\left(3 \bar{\mu}^{2}+\frac{1}{m^{2}}\right) b_{2}^{* 2}\left(1-J^{2}\right) \\
& \forall_{02}^{*}= \frac{a_{02}}{E h^{2}}=-\left(1-J^{2}\right) \frac{3 b_{2}^{* 2}}{256 \bar{\mu}^{2}}
\end{aligned} \quad \text { (17b) }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\forall_{11}^{*}=\frac{a_{11}}{E h^{2}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=-\frac{\left(1-\Omega^{2}\right)\left[\left(12 \bar{\mu}^{2}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)\left(丂_{3}^{*}+丂_{4}^{*}\right) b_{2}^{*}\right]-8(1-Л) \beta 丂_{2}^{*}}{16\left(1+\bar{\mu}^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{17c}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \forall_{22}^{*}=\frac{a_{22}}{E h^{2}} \\
& =-\left(1-J^{2}\right)\left[\frac{\frac{b^{* 2}}{m^{2}}+b_{3}^{*} b_{4}^{*}\left(96 \bar{\mu}^{2}+8 / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)}{256\left(1+\bar{\mu}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right]  \tag{17d}\\
& \forall_{31}^{*}=\frac{a_{31}}{E h^{2}}=-\frac{\left(12 \bar{\mu}^{2}+\frac{9}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)}{16\left(9+\bar{\mu}^{2}\right)^{2}} b_{2}^{*} b_{4}^{*}\left(1-J^{2}\right)  \tag{17e}\\
& \forall_{13}^{*}=\frac{\left(12 \bar{\mu}^{2}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)}{16\left(9 \bar{\mu}^{2}+1\right)^{2}} b_{2}^{*} b_{4}^{*}\left(1-J^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Where
$\bar{\mu}=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{m}}, \beta=\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~h}}, \quad \mathrm{~b}_{1}^{*}=\frac{f_{i}}{h}, i=2,3,4 \quad \bar{\sigma}=\frac{\sigma R}{E h}$
$\bar{\mu}$ is called wavelength ratio in axial and circumferential di－ rection
3．0 Expression of Total Potential for Cylindrical Shell with inclined stiffeners Subjected to Internal Pressure and uni－ form bending
The total potential of the system，$\Pi$ is the sum of the strain energy and the potential of the applied loads．Thus，

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi=U_{e}+U_{b}+U_{m}+U_{P}+U_{b, k}+U_{b, j}+U_{T, k}+U_{T, j} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.1 M inimization of Total Potential Energy, $\bar{\Pi}$, of Internally

Pressurized Thin Cylindrical Shell Subjected to Bending
The non-dimensional form of the total potential of the system is express as shown in Eqn (18b)
$\bar{\Pi}=\bar{U}_{e}+\bar{U}_{b}+\bar{U}_{m}+\bar{U}_{P}+\bar{U}_{b, k}+\bar{U}_{b, j}+\bar{U}_{T, k}+\bar{U}_{T, j} \quad(18 b)$
The total potential energy of the internally pressurized cylindrical shell subjected bending must be a minimum when the structure is in equilibrium. The minimization of the non-dimensional form of thetotal energy, $\bar{\Pi}$ is as expressed in Eqn (19)
$\frac{\partial \bar{\Pi}}{\partial b_{2}^{*}}=0, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\Pi}}{\partial b_{3}^{*}}=0, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\Pi}}{\partial b_{4}^{*}}=0$
Evaluation of $\frac{\partial \bar{U}_{2}}{\partial \mathrm{~b}_{2}^{*}}=0, \frac{\partial \bar{U}_{2}}{\partial \mathrm{~b}_{3}^{*}}=0, \frac{\partial \bar{U}_{2}}{\partial \mathrm{~b}_{4}^{*}}=0$ yielded Eqns (20) - (22):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\bar{\vartheta}_{1} \beta}{1-\Omega}=\bar{A}_{1}+\beta^{2}\left(\bar{A}_{2}+\bar{A}_{3} \lambda+\bar{A}_{4} \lambda^{2}\right)+b_{2}^{* 2} \bar{A}_{5}  \tag{20}\\
& \frac{\bar{\vartheta}_{2} \beta}{1-\Omega}=\mathrm{B}_{1}+\beta^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \lambda^{2}+\mathrm{b}_{2}^{* 2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3}+\frac{\mathrm{B}_{4}}{\lambda}\right)  \tag{21}\\
& \bar{\vartheta}_{3} \frac{\beta}{1-\Omega}=\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{1}+\left(\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{2}+\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{3} \lambda^{2}\right) \beta^{2}+\mathrm{b}_{2}^{* 2}\left(\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{4}+\frac{\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{5}}{\lambda}\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

The notations used in Eqns. (20), (21) and (22) were defined as follows;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\vartheta}_{1}=\frac{3}{2} \bar{\sigma}+\bar{\sigma}_{\mathrm{b}}-\frac{3 \bar{\mu}^{2} \overline{\mathrm{P}}}{2}=\frac{3}{2} \bar{\sigma}+\bar{\sigma}_{\mathrm{b}}+\bar{\vartheta}_{2} \\
& \bar{\vartheta}_{2}=-\frac{3 \bar{\mu}^{2} \overline{\mathrm{P}}}{2}  \tag{24}\\
& \bar{\vartheta}_{3}=\sigma_{\mathrm{b}}+\frac{3}{2} \bar{\sigma}  \tag{25}\\
& \bar{A}_{1}=\frac{1}{8 \bar{A}_{2}\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)}+\overleftrightarrow{\psi}_{1}  \tag{26}\\
& \bar{A}_{2}=\frac{1}{\left(1+\bar{\mu}^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

$\bar{A}_{3}-\frac{3}{2}\left[(2+Л)\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right) \bar{\mu}^{2} \bar{A}_{2}+\frac{1}{4} \bar{\mu}^{2} \lambda_{1}\right]$
$\bar{A}_{4}=(1+Л)\left\{\frac{9\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)^{2} \bar{\mu}^{4} \bar{A}_{2}}{4}\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+\frac{9 \bar{\mu}^{4}}{4}\left[\frac{\lambda_{1}^{2}}{\left(9+\bar{\mu}^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{1}{\left(1+9 \bar{\mu}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right]\right\} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{A}_{5}=(1+\pi) \cdot \frac{9\left(1+\bar{\mu}^{4}\right)}{256} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overleftrightarrow{\Psi}_{1}=\sum_{k=1}^{N k} \frac{\bar{E}_{k} \bar{I}_{k} \bar{L}_{k}}{2}\left[\frac{3}{2}\left(C_{1}^{4}+6 \bar{\mu}^{2} C_{1}^{2} S_{1}^{2}+\bar{\mu}^{4} S_{1}^{4}\right)\right] \\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{N_{j}} \frac{\bar{E}_{j} \bar{I}_{j} \bar{L}_{j}}{2}\left[\frac{3}{2}\left(C_{2}^{4}+6 \bar{\mu}^{2} C_{2}^{2} S_{2}^{2}+\bar{\mu}^{4} S_{2}^{4}\right)\right] \\
&+\sum_{k=1}^{N k} \frac{3 \bar{G}_{k} \bar{J}_{k} \bar{L}_{k}}{8}\left[\left(C_{1}+\bar{\mu} S_{1}\right)^{2}\left(S_{1}-\bar{\mu} C_{1}\right)^{2}\right. \\
&\left.+\left(C_{1}-\bar{\mu} S_{1}\right)^{2}\left(S_{1}+\bar{\mu} C_{1}\right)^{2}\right] \\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{N_{j}} \frac{3 \bar{G}_{j} J_{j} \bar{L}_{j}}{8}\left[\left(C_{2}+\bar{\mu} S_{2}\right)^{2}\left(S_{2}-\bar{\mu} C_{2}\right)^{2}\right.  \tag{31}\\
&\left.+\left(C_{2}-\bar{\mu} S_{2}\right)^{2}\left(S_{2}+\bar{\mu} C_{2}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{B}_{1}=\frac{\bar{\mu}^{4}}{2\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)}+\overleftrightarrow{\psi}_{2} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbb{B}_{2}=\left(1+\right.$ Л) $\cdot \frac{9 \bar{\mu}^{4}}{8\left(1+\bar{\mu}^{2}\right)^{2}} \lambda_{1}^{2}=\left(1+\right.$ Л) $\cdot \frac{9 \bar{\mu}^{4} \bar{A}_{2} \lambda_{1}^{2}}{8}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{B}_{3}=\frac{\mathbb{B}_{2}}{4 \lambda_{1}^{2}} \cdot\left[\left(\lambda_{1}+1\right)+\frac{1}{\left(1+9 \bar{\mu}^{2}\right)^{2} \bar{A}_{2}}\right] \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{B}_{4}=\frac{-3 \bar{\mu}^{2}}{16} \bar{A}_{2} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{aligned}
\overleftrightarrow{\Psi}_{2}= & \sum_{k=1}^{N k} 3 \bar{E}_{k} \bar{I}_{k} \bar{L}_{k} \bar{\mu}^{4} S_{1}^{4}+\sum_{j=1}^{N_{j}} 3 \bar{E}_{j} \bar{I}_{j} \bar{L}_{j} \bar{\mu}^{4} S_{2}^{4}+\sum_{k=1}^{N k} 3 \bar{G}_{k} \bar{J}_{k} \bar{L}_{k} \bar{\mu}^{4} C_{1}^{2} S_{1}^{2} \\
& \quad+\sum_{j=1}^{N_{j}} 3 \bar{G}_{j} J_{j} \bar{L}_{j} \bar{\mu}^{4} C_{2}^{2} S_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned} \\
& \overline{\mathrm{a}}_{1}=\frac{1}{2\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)}+\overleftrightarrow{\Psi}_{3} \\
& \overline{\mathrm{a}}_{2}=\frac{1}{4} \\
& \overline{\mathrm{~d}}_{3}=\left(1+\text { Л) } \frac{36 \bar{\mu}^{4} \bar{A}_{2}}{32}\right.  \tag{38}\\
& \overline{\mathrm{d}}_{4}=\frac{9 \bar{\mu}^{4}}{32}\left(1+\text { Л) }\left[\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}+1}{\lambda_{1}}\right) \bar{A}_{2}+\frac{1}{\left(9+\bar{\mu}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right]\right.  \tag{28}\\
& \overline{\mathrm{d}}_{5}=-\frac{3 \bar{\mu}^{2}}{16 \lambda_{1}}\left(1+\text { Л) }\left[\frac{1}{8}-\bar{A}_{2}\right]\right. \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\overleftrightarrow{\psi}_{3}=\sum_{k=1}^{N k} 3 \bar{E}_{k} \bar{I}_{k} \bar{L}_{k} C_{1}^{4}+\sum_{j=1}^{N_{j}} 3 \bar{E}_{j} \bar{I}_{j} \bar{L}_{j} C_{2}^{4}+\sum_{k=1}^{N k} 3 \bar{G}_{k} \bar{J}_{k} \bar{L}_{k} C_{1}^{2} S_{1}^{2} \\
+\sum_{j=1}^{N_{j}} 3 \bar{E}_{j} \bar{I}_{j} \bar{L}_{j} C_{2}^{2} S_{2}^{2} \tag{42}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where $\lambda=\frac{b_{3}^{*}}{\beta}$ and $\lambda_{1}=\frac{b_{4}^{*}}{b_{3}^{*}}$
Eliminating $\beta$ and $b_{2}^{*}$ from Eqns. (3.64), (3.65), (3.66), the following equation was obtained.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{1} \Phi^{2}+\mathfrak{M}_{2} \Phi+\mathfrak{M}_{3}=0 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{M}_{1}=\frac{1}{\left(1-\Omega^{2}\right)}\left[\frac{\omega_{2} \omega_{3}}{\mathfrak{y}_{3}^{2}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{4}+\frac{\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{5}}{\lambda}-\bar{A}_{5}\right)^{2}+\frac{\mathfrak{y}_{2}}{\mathfrak{y}_{3}}\left(\dot{B}_{3}+\frac{\dot{B}_{4}}{\lambda}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& -\left(\frac{\mathfrak{y}_{2} \Phi_{3}}{\mathfrak{y}_{3}^{2}}+\frac{\omega_{2}}{\mathfrak{y}_{3}}\right) \\
& \left.*\left(\overline{\mathrm{~d}}_{4}+\frac{\overline{\mathrm{d}}_{5}}{\lambda}-\bar{A}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{B}_{3}+\frac{\mathrm{B}_{4}}{\lambda}\right)\right]  \tag{44}\\
& \mathfrak{M}_{2}=-\frac{\bar{\mu}^{2} \bar{P}}{\left(1-л^{2}\right)}\left\{\frac{2 \omega_{2} \omega_{3}}{\mathfrak{\eta}_{3}^{2}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{4}+\frac{\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{5}}{\lambda}\right)\left(\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{4}+\frac{\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{5}}{\lambda}-\bar{A}_{5}\right)\right. \\
& +\frac{2 \mathfrak{y}_{2}}{\mathfrak{y}_{3}}\left(\mathbb{B}_{3}+\frac{\mathbb{B}_{4}}{\lambda}-\bar{A}_{5}\right) \\
& -\left(\frac{\mathfrak{y}_{2} \Phi_{3}}{\mathfrak{y}_{3}^{2}}+\frac{\Phi_{2}}{\mathfrak{y}_{3}}\right)\left[\bar{A}_{5}^{2}\right. \\
& +2\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3}+\frac{\mathrm{B}_{4}}{\lambda}\right)\left(\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{4}+\frac{\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{5}}{\lambda}\right) \\
& \left.\left.-\bar{A}_{5}\left(\mathbb{B}_{3}+\frac{\mathbb{B}_{4}}{\lambda}+\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{4}+\frac{\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{5}}{\lambda}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{M}_{3}=\frac{\bar{\mu}^{4} \bar{P}^{2}}{\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right)}[ & {\left[\frac{\Phi_{2} \Phi_{3}\left(\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{a}}}_{4}+\frac{\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{5}}{\lambda}\right)^{2}+\frac{\mathfrak{y}_{2}}{\mathfrak{y}_{3}}\left(\dot{B}_{3}+\frac{\mathbb{B}_{4}}{\lambda}-\bar{A}_{5}\right)^{2}}{}\right.} \\
& \left.\quad-\left(\frac{\mathfrak{y}_{2} \Phi_{3}}{\mathfrak{y}_{3}^{2}}+\frac{\omega_{3}}{\mathfrak{y}_{3}}\right)\left(\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{4}+\frac{\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{5}}{\lambda}\right)\left(\mathbb{B}_{3}+\frac{\mathbb{B}_{4}}{\lambda}-\bar{A}_{5}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{\mathfrak{y}_{2}{ }^{2} \Phi_{3}^{2}}{\mathfrak{y}_{3}^{2}}-\frac{2 \Phi_{2} \Phi_{3} \mathfrak{y}_{2}}{\mathfrak{y}_{3}} \\
& +\omega_{2}^{2} \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{2}=\bar{A}_{1}\left(\mathbb{B}_{3}+\frac{\mathbb{B}_{4}}{\lambda}\right)-\mathbb{B}_{1} \bar{A}_{5}  \tag{47}\\
& \omega_{3}=\left(\bar{A}_{2}+\bar{A}_{3} \lambda+\bar{A}_{4} \lambda^{2}\right)\left(\mathbb{B}_{3}+\frac{\mathbb{B}_{4}}{\lambda}\right)-\mathbb{B}_{2} \bar{A}_{5} \lambda^{2} \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathfrak{y}_{2}=\bar{A}_{1}\left(\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{4}+\frac{\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{5}}{\lambda}\right)-\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{1} \bar{A}_{5}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{y}_{3}=\left(\bar{A}_{2}+\bar{A}_{3} \lambda\right. & \left.+\bar{A}_{4} \lambda^{2}\right)\left(\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{4}+\frac{\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{5}}{\lambda}\right) \\
& -\left(\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{2}+\overline{\mathrm{a}}_{3} \lambda^{2}\right) \bar{A}_{5} \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

And
$\Phi=\bar{\sigma}_{\mathrm{b}}+3 / 2 \bar{\sigma}$
Equation (43) is thegoverning equation for determining the critical buckling stress of an internally pressurized thin cylindrical shell reinforced with angular stiffeners and loaded with eccentric compressive force or bending, where $\Phi$ is called minimum stress parameter for stiffened shell under bending and internal pressure.

### 4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS <br> \subsection*{4.1 RESULTS}

## NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

Thenumerical analysis of this typeof cylindrical shell was done by taking the following assumptions: $\bar{E}_{k} \bar{I}_{k} \bar{L}_{k}=\bar{E}_{j} \bar{I}_{j} \bar{L}_{j}, \bar{G}_{k} \bar{J}_{k} \bar{L}_{k}=$ $\bar{G}_{j} \bar{J}_{j} \bar{L}_{j}, \quad \gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=\gamma\left(\right.$ for $\left.\gamma=10^{0}, 20^{0}, 30^{\circ}, 40^{\circ}, 45^{0}, 50^{\circ}, 60^{\circ}\right)$, $\lambda=\lambda_{1}, \quad m=5, \quad \bar{\mu}=1, h=0.05$ metre, $\bar{P}=2$ and $R=$ 2 metres. Using thegoverning equation in Eqn (43) and thenotation described from Eqn (44) to Eqn (50), the following data shown in Table 1 and the corresponding graph in Fig. 4 were respectively obtained for different imperfect ratio, Л.

Table 1: Values of Buckling Stress Parameter, $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ for different imperfect ratio for internally pressurized thin cylinders reinforced with inclined stiffeners subjected to uniform bending

| IMPERFECT <br> RATIO, л | BUCKLING STRESS PARAMETER, $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ OF STIFFENERS AT DIFFERENT ANGLES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $10^{0}$ | $20^{0}$ | $30^{0}$ | $40^{0}$ | $45^{0}$ | $50^{0}$ | $60^{0}$ |  |
| 0.1 | 7.6307 | 4.6271 | 1.4266 | 0.4038 | 0.3430 | 0.4785 | 1.2589 |  |
| 0.2 | 8.1084 | 5.3111 | 1.6910 | 0.4387 | 0.3665 | 0.5358 | 1.5150 |  |
| 0.3 | 8.4625 | 5.9047 | 1.9723 | 0.4819 | 0.3913 | 0.5865 | 1.7508 |  |
| 0.4 | 8.6674 | 6.3654 | 2.2466 | 0.5316 | 0.4167 | 0.6268 | 1.9430 |  |


| 0.5 | 8.6951 | 6.6554 | 2.4860 | 0.5863 | 0.4425 | 0.6552 | 2.0576 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.6 | 8.5097 | 6.7345 | 2.6572 | 0.6422 | 0.4684 | 0.6573 | 2.0488 |
| 0.7 | 8.0586 | 6.5516 | 2.7201 | 0.7031 | 0.4943 | 0.6360 | 1.8640 |
| 0.8 | 7.2535 | 6.0284 | 2.6262 | 0.7602 | 0.5202 | 0.5811 | 1.4580 |
| 0.9 | 5.9127 | 5.0181 | 2.3144 | 0.8118 | 0.5461 | 0.4846 | 0.8131 |



Fig. 4: Graph of Buckling Stress Parameter and Imperfect Ratio of Internally Pressurized Thin Cylinders under uniform bending

### 4.2 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The data in Fig. 4 showed that as imperfect ratio of stiffeners inclined at $10^{\circ}$ and $60^{\circ}$ respectively increases from 0.1 to 0.5 , its buckling stress parameter increases. For stiffeners inclined at $20^{\circ}$ and $50^{\circ}$ respectively, therewas progressive increase of their buckling stress parameter from imperfect ratio of 0.1 to imperfect ratio of 0.6 . While, stiffeners indined at $30^{\circ}$ have progressive increase of their buckling stress parameter from imperfect ratio of 0.1 to imperfect ratio of 0.7 .
However, stiffeners inclined at $40^{\circ}$ and $45^{\circ}$ respectively have progressive increase of their buckling stress parameter from imperfect ratio of 0.1 to imperfect ratio of 0.9 . Buckling stress parameter is least at $45^{\circ}$ inclined stiffeners and maximum at $10^{\circ}$ inclined stiffeners for all imperfect ratios considered. The re sults in Table 1 and Fig. 4 al so showed that $10^{\circ}$ inclined stiffeners is the most effective stiffener with maximum critical buckling stress at imperfect ratio of 0.5 , while $45^{\circ}$ inclined stiffeners is the least effective with the least critical buckling stress at imperfect ratio of 0.1

### 5.0 CONCLUSION

With referenceto theresults obtained in this research, engineers designing cylindrical shell structures with the aim of providing resistance to buckling would be able to select suitable indined stiffeners for the structure under uniform bending.
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## DEFINITION OF NOTATIONS

Notations
$\epsilon_{x}, \epsilon_{y}$
$w_{0}$
w
E
D
$h$
$\mu$
Л
R
F
$E_{j}$
$E_{k}$
$G_{k}$
$G_{j}$
$I_{j}$
$I_{k}$
$J_{k}$
$J_{j}$
L
$L_{k}$
$L_{j}$
$\bar{L}_{J}$
$\bar{L}_{k}$
$\bar{E}_{k}$
$\bar{E}_{j}$
$\bar{G}_{j}$
$\bar{G}_{k}$
$\bar{I}_{j}$
$\bar{I}_{k}$
$\bar{J}_{j}$

Meaning

Strains in $x$ and $y$
Initial deflection
Total radial deflection
Young's modulus of elasticity of the shell
Flexural rigidity of the shell
Thickness of the shell
Poisson ratio
Imperfect ratio
Radius of the cylindrical shell
Airy's stress function
Young's modulus of elasticity of $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners
Young's modulus of elasticity of $k^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners
Shear modulus of $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners
Shear modulus of $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners
moment of inertia of $j^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners
moment of inertia of $k^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners
polar moment of inertia of $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners
polar moment of inertia of $\mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{th}}$ stiffeners
Length of the cylindrical shell
Length of $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners
Length of $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners
Dimensionless length of $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners
Dimensionless length of $k^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners
Dimensionless Young's modulus of elasticity of $k^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners

Dimensionless Young's modulus of elasticity of $j$ th stiff-
eners
Dimensionless shear modulus of $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners
Dimensionless shear modulus of $k^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners
Dimensionless moment of inertia of $j^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners
Dimensionless moment of inertia of $k^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners
Dimensionless polar moment of inertia of $j^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners

| $\bar{J}_{k}$ | Dimensionless polar moment of inertia of $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners |
| :---: | :---: |
| $m$ | Number of waves in axial direction |
| $n$ | Number of waves in circumferential direction |
| $\gamma_{1}$ | Inclination angle of the $\mathrm{K}^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners parallel with $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ line and normal to $\mathrm{y}_{2}{ }^{1}$-line |
| $\gamma_{2}$ | Inclination angle of the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners parallel with $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ - line and normal to $\mathrm{y}_{1}{ }^{1}$-line |
| $U_{T, j}$ | Torsional strain energy for $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners inclined at angle, $\gamma_{2}$ |
| $U_{T, k}$ | Torsional strain energy for $\mathrm{K}^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners inclined at angle, $\gamma_{1}$ |
| $U_{b, j}$ | Bending strain energy for jth ${ }^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners inclined at angle, $r_{2}$ |
| $U_{b, k}$ | Bending strain energy for $K^{\text {th }}$ stiffeners inclined at angle, $\gamma_{1}$ |
| $U_{b}$ | Bending strain energy in the shell |
| $U_{e}$ | Extensional strain energy in the shell |
| $U_{P}$ | Strain energy due to internal pressure |
| $U_{m}$ | Potential due to edge bending due to application of eccentric loading |
| $\sigma_{b}$ | Bending stress |
| $\nabla^{4}$ | Biharmonic operator |
| $\nabla^{2}$ | Laplace operator |
| $P$ | Internal pressure |
| $\bar{\mu}$ | Wavelength ratio |
| $\bar{P}$ | Dimensionless internal pressure |
| $u, v, w$ | Components of displacements in $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ directions |
| $x, y, z$ | Orthogonal coordinates on median surface of the shell |
| $\beta$ | Dimensionless parameter that connect $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{R}$ and m |
| $u, v, w$ | Components of displacements in $x, y, z$ directions |
| $x, y, z$ | Orthogonal coordinates on median surface of the shell |
| $\beta$ | Dimensionless parameter that connect $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{R}$ and m |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | Cosine of angle $\gamma_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | Sine of angle $\gamma_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | cosine of angle $r_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | Sine of angle $\gamma_{2}$ |
| $\lambda, \lambda_{1}$ | Deflection parameters |
| $N_{K}$ | Number of stiffeners in $\gamma_{1}$-direction |
| $N_{J}$ | Number of stiffeners in $\gamma_{2}$-direction |
| $\Pi$ | Total strain energies |
| $\bar{\Pi}$ | Non-dimensional total strain energies |
| $\overline{\mathrm{U}}$ | Non-dimensional strain energy |

